

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/633,867 | RYU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Christina Johnson | 1725 | |

All Participants:

(1) Christina Johnson.

(2) Kenneth Johnson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

32

Prior art documents discussed:


See Continuation Sheet

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Claim 32 was cancelled, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were proposed to cancel claim 32 which did not distinguish over the prior art. Applicant's representative agreed to the change, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiner's amendment..